



Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2011/03/22 : CIA-RDP85T01058R000608110001-0

Central Intelligence Agency



DIRECTORATE OF INTELLIGENCE

15 November 1985

Soviet Policy on Jewish Emigration--<u>A Change in the Offing?</u>

SUMMARY

In the run-up to the US-Soviet meeting in Geneva, the Soviet regime has been taking a two-track approach on Jewish emigration and other human rights issues. In public and diplomatic channels the regime has attempted to warn the US away from the issue altogether.

Gorbachev has sharply criticized US human rights performance, insisting the USSR has nothing to be ashamed about on the issue and publicly claiming that Jews have more rights in the USSR than anywhere. He is said to be compiling a list of alleged US violations to be used at Geneva.

Soviet interlocutors have passed the word that Gorbachev does not want to discuss Jewish emigration in Geneva, while Soviet diplomats

This memorandum was prepared by Analysis. Comments and questions may be direct	the Office of Soviet	25X1
Chief, Domestic Policy Division		25X1

25X1

SOVA M 85-10201X

25X1

25X1

have been instructed to indicate to their Western contacts that a US approach on human rights is futile and a waste of time.

On the other hand the regime has used back channel messengers

25X1

to hint that a policy shift on emigration is being considered by Gorbachev and that he will make other gestures on human rights to create a better atmosphere in Geneva.

The regime took the unusual step in late January to begin a dialogue on the emigration issue with officials of the World Jewish Congress and, indirectly, the Peres government in Israel.

25X1

Gorbachev might be willing to allow freer Jewish emigration and possibly the start of direct emigration flights to Israel.

Yelena Bonner, a Jewish dissident and wife of Andrey Sakharov, evidently will be allowed abroad for medical treatment and a Soviet told the US Embassy in Moscow that dissident refuseniks Anatoliy Shcharanskiy, Ida Nudel and "others" will be freed as a "humanitarian gesture" by Gorbachev.

These officially inspired hints of a forthcoming change have achieved at least some short term gain for the Soviet regime.

The promise of concessions itself has bought gestures of goodwill from the World Jewish Congress—toning down criticism of the Soviets—and the Peres government is interested in the suggestion the USSR could participate in an international forum on the Arab-Israeli question.

Dangling the prospect of direct and higher emigration and hinting at other moves on human rights helps Moscow's argument that human rights should be excluded from the Geneva discussions.

Public diplomacy aside, Gorbachev probably calculates that there are gains in allowing direct flights of greater numbers of Soviet Jews to Israel. Given the recent low levels of Jewish emigration—about a thousand a year since 1980—he can agree to a substantial increase without coming close to the high emigration rates of the mid-to-late 1970s.

Direct Soviet Jewish emigration to Israel might even be seen as a way to drive a wedge between US and Israeli perspectives on emigration and other issues.

2

Higher Jewish emigration holds the possibility that Jewish support for restrictions on US-Soviet trade--e.g., Jackson-Vanik--will be eroded and that Jewish lobbying on behalf of Soviet Jews will decrease.

The regime may calculate that allowing for greater emigration and some concessions on other human rights issues will preempt international critics and make it easier to move on dissidents in the future.

So far, there have been no concrete, overt steps undertaken by Gorbachev to alter Soviet emigration policy. The reason likely is tied to their uncertainty about US-Soviet relations and the impact of such moves on Soviet-Arab relations. Moscow almost certainly understands that there would be strong Arab protests--as there were in the late 1970s--against increased Jewish emigration to Israel. Gorbachev, moreover, rejects direct US efforts to pressure him on this issue, and may believe that US interest on emigration gives him some potential leverage. He probably does not yet know whether playing this card will be worth the effort. He undoubtedly assumes the issue will come up in his meeting with the President, and is preparing to counter attack aggressively. At the same time, however, he is likely to use the occasion to assess what long term gains can be realized by showing more flexibility.

Background	
The Soviet regime's decisions on emigration have typically been governed by policy considerations of the momentboth foreign and domestic. In the early 1970s, for example, the regime determined that freer emigration would give impetus to a broad array of political and economic issues with the United Statessuch as the SALT I agreement and the commitment to increase bilateral trade.	25X
Despite recognizing the linkage of emigration to these issues, however, the Soviets were not willing to accept US efforts publicly to tie the two. They quickly denounced the Jackson-Vanik and Stevenson Amendments to the US Trade Act, which required a high level of Jewish emigration as a condition for extending most-favored-nation treatment and gaining credits, as unacceptable interference in the USSR's internal affairs. To make the point, the number of Israeli exit visas was quickly cut; by 1975 emigration was only about one-third of that in 1973. In the late 1970s, however, despite stepped up harrassment, arrests, and trials of prominent Jewish dissidents such as Anatoliy Shcharanskiy, the regime allowed the rate of Jewish emigration to rise dramatically. By 1979 it had reached an alltime high of 50,460 visas issued. Moscow may have thought freer emigration would help conclude a SALT II agreement and be particularly beneficial in the ratification process. The Soviet were also pushing for increased trade with the United States, and easing emigration may have been intended to forestall problems with US policymakers who had earlier linked trade and emigration. It is also possible that the regime saw this as a way to clear out some of its perceived troublemakers while getting credit for it abroad.	25X
By 1980 Moscow's calculations had evidently changed. The Soviet invasion of Afghanistan, the US refusal to ratify SALT II, the Olympic boycott and the suspension of cultural and scientific exchanges led to a sharp deterioration in relations. With nothing to gain from using emigration as an inducement, emigration plummeted for Jews, Armenians and Germans. According to US Government and United Nations statistics, the 1980 high of 6,109 Armenians receiving exit permission was reduced to 88 by 1984. German emigration fell from 6,947 visas issued in 1979 to only 910 in 1984. Jewish emigration dropped from over 50,000 in 1979 to 896 in 1984.	25X
In 1982 Soviet emigration officials began telling applicants that "Jewish emigration from the Soviet Union has come to an end." In 1983, apparently to publicize this decision, the authorities established the Anti-Zionist Committee of the Soviet Public. Soviet officials also began implying to foreign governments that emigration had ended, even as a "gesture." In April 1983, increase in Jewish emigration was unlikely because past Soviet experience with such gestures had been unsatisfactory.	25X 25X
Counterattack under Gorbachev	
With the accession of Gorbachev, the regime went on the offensive.	25X

4

	Vod 101 (Kolodob 201 (Vod/22 : O	:IA-RDP85T01058R000608110001-0	,
		•	
oviet television of the increase. Strident critical contents are selected as the selected as	or in the press on alleged	ber that the number of items of US human rights violations was	as on
ghts and emigratingressional delegilure to ratify wat month told Frehuman rights. Is a human rights	ion policies. During a me gation in September 1985, various international huma ench television that the U de even raised the issue o issueand said that he w	nsive on the issue of Soviet heting with a visiting US he sharply criticized the US n rights conventions, and late SSR has nothing to be ashamed f Shcharanskiywhich he denied ould be glad to hear of Jews ights as they have in the USSR	er of ed
rns US Away From Behind the blu d his lieutenants e US.	uster there have been heav	y handed warnings that Gorbach e altogether in discussions wi	ev th
In July, for e does not want in November.	example, Arbatov told to discuss the matter of	that Gorbachev Jewish emigration at the meeti	ng
hoped that the	en newly appointed Foreign mics on human rights viola	he US commented that the Sovie Minister Shevardnadze would n ations in discussions with the	ot
US on a pre-Ge	eneva fact finding mission,	party Central Committee, in th , warned that the hamper a successful outcome t	
would be unyie raising the hu	elding on this issue, and s man rights issue at the Go achev will use his own comp	diplomat suggested Gorbachev said that if the US insists on eneva talks or at any future prehensive file on alleged hum	
rights violati	ons in the US to counter i	the charges.	

initized Copy Approved for Release 2011/03/22 : CIA-RDP85T01058R000608110001-0	2
	2
its of Flexibility	
While continuing to project a public and diplomatic hardline on human plats, the regime began in early 1985 to gnal the possibility of a change in emigration policy. It took the unusual ep in late January of inviting the head of the World Jewish Congress to scow to discuss the issue. At about the same time, the State Bank chairman da US official that if bilateral relations improve, emigration "would not a great problem." It has also played on the interest of some in the raeli government who are anxious to work out an arrangement that would not y provide for freer Soviet Jewish emigration but would direct the	2
gration to Israel.	2
Dialogue with the WJC. discussions in Moscow between Soviet icials, andwith Israel's blessingsthe World Jewish Congress seemed to	2
gress through mid-July 1985.	2
World Jewish Congress officials returned to Moscow in September to resume	
dialogue, even though the Soviets had not fulfilled the promises the WJC expected.	2
	2
	2
6	

	2
	2
Despite the assurances, the Soviets have not yet taken any concrete steps increase emigration. The regime has limited itself to easing restrictions the Sakharovs. Sakharov and Bonner were allowed to call relatives in the ited States and Bonner evidently will be allowed to go abroad for medical	
eatment. charanskiy and other refuseniks may be freed soon.	2
Israeli Interest. The Soviets have been able to engage Israeli support playing on Israel'sand its intermediariesconcern about Soviet Jewish igration and the parallel concern that Israel be the destination of any new	
igration.	2
/Israel's Minister of	
migrant Absorption, Yaacov Tsur, has also articulated to US officials the raeli interest in eliminating Soviet Jewish emigration to the US, pointing	
t that Jews lose US <u>refugee status and</u> cannot later qo to the US if they	_
oose Israel first.	2
Nonetheless, it is still an open question whether behind the atmospherics	
ere is real progress on the issue of Jewish emigration to Israel. Indeed, raeli Ministry of Foreign Affairs officials and the public lobbies in Israel	
r Soviet Jewry are skeptical that the Soviets are prepared to be flexible on	
wish emigration. Last month, MFA officials responsible for Soviet affairs ld US officials that they see no evidence to support hopes that there might	
a significant increase in Soviet Jewish emigration. Apparently aware of	
A views, the Soviet regime has been dealing directly with the Prime nister's office on the issue.	2
lated Moves to Project Flexibility	
In the past week or so the Soviets have been dropping even more	
ntalizing hints that they are willing to resolve some of the more ntentious human rights casesmany of which involve Soviet Jews. One	
ample is the story that Yelena Bonner has been given permission to go abroad	
r medical treatment.	2

25X1

<u>Prospects</u>

Gorbachev probably sees some advantage in allowing direct emigration of greater numbers of Soviet Jews. Indeed, the recent levels of Soviet Jewish emigration are so low--about a thousand a year since 1980--that even a substantial increase would pale in comparison to the massive emigration of the 1970s.

Direct Soviet Jewish emigration to Israel might even be seen as a way to drive a wedge between different US and Israeli perspectives on emigration.

Gorbachev can also defuse the issue of emigration as a bilateral irritant by dealing with third partners.

At home, he can defuse the refusenik issue by holding out the promise of higher emigration in return for conformity while getting rid of others unwilling to conform. The regime has effectively used emigration in the past to divide and decapitate the dissident movement.

The regime might also calculate that greater emigration and some concessions on other human rights issues would disarm its international critics and allow Moscow, if necessary, to move more freely in the future.

So far, however, the Soviets have not yet moved ahead on anything more than symbolic gestures. Concern about the impact of such moves on Soviet-Arab relations is probably a factor. Moscow certainly understands that there would be strong protests against increased Jewish emigration to Israel from its Arab allies. Previous Soviet emigration policy suggest that, nonetheless, this is not an overriding concern. Gorbachev's uncertainty about the future of US-Soviet relations is probably a further reason. While he rejects direct US efforts to pressure him on this issue, Gorbachev may believe that US interest on emigration gives him some potential leverage. He undoubtedly assumes the issue will come up in his meeting with the President, and

is preparing to counterattack aggressively. He will also, however, likely use the occasion to assess what long term gains can be realized by showing more flexibility.

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

gach

- 1. Ambassador Matlock, Jr.
 Special Assistant to the President
 Senior Director, European and Soviet Affairs
 National Security Council
 Room 368, EOB
- Leo Moser
 Deputy Assistant Secretary
 Bureau of Human Rights and Humanitarian Affairs
 Room 7802
 Department of State
- 3. Mr. Mark Palmer
 Deputy Assistant Secretary of
 Bureau of European Affairs
 Room 6219
 Department of State
- 4. Mr. Robert Baraz
 Director, Office of Analysis for the
 Soviet Union and Western Europe
 INR
 Department of State
- James Morrison Director, OASD/ISP/EUR/NATO Regional Policy Room 1D469, Pentagon
- Roland Kuchel Director, Office of Eastern European Affairs Room 5220 Department of State
- 7. Mark Parris
 Director, Office of Soviet Union Affairs
 Room 4217
 Department of State
- 8. Lynn Pascoe
 Deputy Director, Office of Soviet Union Affairs
 Room 4217
 Department of State

- 9. Col. Tyrus Cobb Staff Member NSC Room 373, EOB
- 10. Don Graves INR/SEE/USSR Room 4844, Department of State
- 11. John Danylyk Chief, INR/EC/USSR Department of State . Room 8662, New State
- 12. Bill Courtney Special Assistant, Office of Under Secretary of State Room 7240, New State
- 13. Robert Ashdown Room 5923 Department of State

Internal Distribution

```
1 - DCI
   2 - DDCI
   3 - SA/DCI
   4 - ED/DCI
   5 - Executive Registry
   6 - DDI
   7 - Senior Review Panel
8-13 - OCPAS/IMD/CB
  14 - Chairman/NIC
  15 - NIO/USSR-EE
  16 - NIO/SP
  17 - C/DDO/SE
  18 - C/DCD/PES
  19 - D/SOVA
  20 - DD/SOVA
  21 - C/SOVA/NIG
  22 - C/SOVA/NIG/EPD
  23 - C/SOVA/NIG/DPD
  24 - C/SOVA/NIG/DPD/LP
  25 - C/SOVA/NIG/DPD/BF
  26 - C/SOVA/NIG/DPD/SI
  27 - C/SOVA/RIG
  28 - C/SOVA/RIG/EAD
  29 - C/SOVA/RIG/TWAD
  30 - C/SOVA/SIG
  31 - C/SOVA/SIG/SFD
  32 - C/SOVA/SIG/SPD
  33 - C/SOVA/DEIG
  34 - C/SOVA/DEIG/DEA
  35 - C/SOVA/DEIG/DID
  36 - C/PDB Staff
  37 - C/SOVA/CI
  38 - C/FBIS/AG
  39 - C/ACIS
  40 - ASG
  41 - AC/CRES
  42 - C/IPC
  43 - C/DDO
  44 - D/ALA
  45 - D/EURA
  46 - D/NESA
  47 - C/PES/MPS
  48 - D/OCPAS
 49 - D/OCR
 50 - D/OEA
 51 - D/OGI
 52 - AD/OIA
 53 - D/OSWR
 54 - NIC/AG
```